#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 375 851 IR 055 250

TITLE Council on Library Resources, Inc. Thirty-Fifth

Annual Report 1991.

INSTITUTION Council on Library Resources, Inc., Washington,

D.C.

REPORT NO ISSN-0070-1181

PUB DATE 91

NOTE 66p.; For the 34th and 37th annual reports, see ED

351 045 and ED 368 376; for the 36th annual report,

see IR 055 251.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Libraries; Annual Reports; \*Grants; Higher

Education; \*Information Needs; Library

Administration; \*Library Education; \*Library Research; Library Services; Research Libraries

IDENTIFIERS \*Council on Library Resources; Sponsored Research


#### **ABSTRACT**

This review of Council on Library Resources (CLR) activities for 1990/91 begins with status reports on the following CLR managed projects: professional education, including the Academic Library Management Intern Program and CLR/Kellog fellows; bibliographic systems; science and engineering, including the CLR/National Science foundation Project and a National Engineering Information Service; books for Indian Colleges; and the CLR Research Program. Brief descriptions are provided of grants and contracts made by CLR in fiscal 1991 for projects in research including the special grant program "Setting Library Policies and Priorities in Research Universities"; access services; cataloging and bibliographic services; and librarianship. The final report of the Advisory Committee on Library Education, "Library Schools in Research Universities" (Warren J. Haas), is appended. Also included are lists of program committees and project participants; lists of publications and reports resulting from CLR programs; program guidelines and grant application procedures; financial statements on active projects and an index. (JLB)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

# THIRTY-FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT



# COUNCIL ON LIBRARY RESOURCES



"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

E.B. Timmer

2
BEST COPY AVAILABLE


TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



# COUNCIL ON LIBRARY RESOURCES, INC. THIRTY-FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT 1991

1785 Massachusetts Arenue, N.W., Suite 313 Washington, D.C. 20036





The scholar at his book-wheel is a reproduction of an engraving in Agostino Ramelli's *Le diverse et artificiose machine...* Paris, 1588. It first appeared in the Council's third annual report, with the following explanation: "the picture symbolizes the interest of the Council on Library Resources in both the content of books and the mechanics of library service." The engraving has appeared in each annual report since that time.

This 35th Annual Report has been designed and set in Garamond by Drews & Row, Inc. The report was printed by Goetz Printing Company on Mohawk Vellum, an acid-free, stable, and enduring paper manufactured by Mohawk Paper Mills, Cohoes, N.Y.

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences – Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1984.

Council on Library Resources

Report. 1st— 1956/5<sup>—</sup>—

Washington.

v. 23cm. annual.

Report year ends June 30.

1. Library Science—Research.

Z673.C96A15

020.624

Library of Congress

58-915 rev. ISSN 0070-1181



# Contents

á	Members of the Council and of the Board of Directors
6	Committees and Officers
6	Staff and Consultants
7	Acknowledgements
8	Chairman's Message
10	President's Message
12	Program Review
12	CLR-Managed Projects
18	CLR Grants and Contracts, 1990/1991
18	Library Operations and Planning
18	1. Research
22	2. Access Services
23	3. Cataloging and Bibliographic Services
24	H. Librarianship
27	Appendix: "Library Schools in Research Universities," by Warren J. Haas
34	Program Committees and Project Participants
37	Publications and Reports Resulting from CLR Programs, 1990/1991
-i2	Program Guidelines and Grant Application Procedures
-15	Active Projects and Financial Statements, 1990/1991
46	Grants & Contracts Active in Fiscal 1991
53	Report of Independent Accountants and Financial Statements
63	Index



# Members of the Council and Members of the Board of Directors

Page Ackerman <sup>1</sup> University Librarian Emeritus University of California, Los Angeles

William O. Baker Former Chairman of the Board Bell Telephone Laboratories

Patricia Battin President Commission on Preservation and Access

Harvey Brooks Benjamin Peirce Professor of Technology & Public Policy Emeritus, Harvard University

Charles D. Churchwell, Vice Chairman Dean, School of Library and Information Studies Clark Atlanta University

James S. Coles
Former President, Research Corporation

Samuel DuBois Cook President, Dillard University

Martin M. Cummings

Director Emeritus

National Library of Medicine

Ruth M. Davis <sup>2</sup>
President, The Pymatuning Group, Inc.

Billy E. Fryc Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost Emory University

Warren J. Haas <sup>4</sup> President, Council on Library Resources



Retired, June 1991

Resigned, November 1990.

<sup>3</sup> Retired, December 1990.

Caryl P. Haskins
Former President
Carnegie Institution of Washington

William N. Hubbard, Jr.
Former President, Upjohn Company

Maximilian W. Kempner, Chairman Dean, Vermont Law School

Elizabeth T. Kennan President, Mount Holyoke College

Herman Liebaers Former Director, Royal Library, Belgium

Peter Likins President, Lebigh University

W. David Penniman <sup>†</sup> President, Council on Library Resources

Basil Stuart-Stubbs Former Director, School of Library, Archival and Information Studies, University of British Columbia

Sidney Verba Director, Harvard University Library

Robert Vosper University Librarian Emeritus University of California, Los Angeles

Frederick H. Wagman Professor Emeritus, School of Information and Library Studies, University of Michigan

Thomas H. Wright 5 General Counsel and Secretary Princeton University



As of January 1991

<sup>5</sup> Resigned May 1991

#### Director Emeritus of the Council

Herman B Wells

#### **Executive Committee**

Maximilian W. Kempner Charles D. Churchwell Warren J. Haas <sup>3</sup> W. David Penniman <sup>4</sup> Page Ackerman <sup>1, 6</sup> James S. Coles <sup>6</sup> Ruth M. Davis <sup>2</sup> Billy E. Frye Frederick H. Wagman

#### Audit Committee

Charles D. Churchwell James S. Coles Thomas H. Wright <sup>5</sup>

#### Officers

Maximilian W. Kempner, Chairman Charles D. Churchwell, Vice Chairman Warren J. Haas, President <sup>4</sup> W. David Penniman, President <sup>4</sup> Mary Agnes Thompson, Secretary and Treasurer

# Staff and Consultants

Susan D. Adams, Controller
Martin M. Cummings, Consultant
Warren J. Haas, President 
Vanessa K. Lang, Administrative Assistant
Albert C. Mellwain II, Administrative Assistant
Caroline A. Mitchell, Program Associate
W. David Penniman, President 
Henry W. Riecken, Consultant
Mary Agnes Thompson, Assistant to the President;
Secretary and Treas—er
El'en B. Timmer, Administrative Assistant



# Acknowledgements

The following foundations were among the supporters of Council activities during 1990/1991. All deserve the thanks of the library community.

The AT&T Foundation
The J. Paul Getty Trust
The W. K. Kellogg Foundation
The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation
The Pew Charitable Trusts



# Chairman's Message

The year 1991 marks the thirty-fifth anniversary of the founding of the Council on Library Resources. The Council's third president, Warren J. Haas, retired on December 31, 1990, after thirteen years of distinguished service to the Council. It is therefore an appropriate moment to note that the many accomplishments of the Council in the thirty-five years of its existence, as summarized briefly in the Council's annual reports, are in large part the result of the insight, the perseverance, and the creativity of its presidents, guided by its board of directors.

The Ford Foundation acted with foresight when it established the Council in 1956. Remarkable technological, economic, and social changes in the nation's educational and research environments occurred during the next decades. The processes by which users organize, retrieve, and disseminate information changed dramatically. The Ford Foundation charged the Council "to aid in the solution of library problems; to conduct research in, develop and demonstrate new techniques and methods and to disseminate through any means the results thereof." The means to carry out this charge have been devised by the Council's presidents and its directors. Work has gone forward with the assistance of the many foundations that have provided the Council with financial support and with the collaboration of many in the library, academic, and information services communities.

Jim Haas was especially effective at enlisting the assistance of a broad segment of these communities. Two recent examples come to mind. Last year the Council oversaw the publication of a major statement about the future of academic research libraries that was based on discussions of the Research Library Committee, a group composed of senior librarians, scholars, and foundation and university officers. The work of the Committee was sponsored by the Council and three scholarly organizations, the American Council of Learned Societies, the Association of American Universities, and the Social Science Research Council. This year, an Advisory Committee on Library Education, a ten-member committee of library school deans, completed its discussions on improving the quality of library schools in research universities. A summary of the committee's recommendations is included in this annual report.



 $^{8}$  10

Such collaborative efforts were a hallmark of the substance and style Jim Haas brought to the Council. He was always concerned that planning for the future of libraries not take place in isolation from the ultimate users of library services. At the same time, he often expressed his conviction that librarians had fashioned an exceptional modern record in collaborative activity, resource sharing, and technological innovation, and that they had done so in advance of some other sectors of the research community. Much of the research that made this record possible was itself funded by the Council.

I am pleased to welcome W. David Penniman (most recently Director of the Information Services Group at AT&T Bell Laboratories) as the fourth president of the Council. The Council will continue to evolve in the years ahead under Dr. Penniman's leadership, building on the firm foundation established by Warren J. Haas and his predecessors Fred C. Cole and Verner W. Clapp.

Maximilian W. Kempuer

Maximilian W. Kempner Chairman



# President's Message

As the fourth president of the Council on Library Resources, I have been presented with a challenge and an opportunity. The challenge is to follow an exceptional handful of leaders who have helped to make the Council a powerful mechanism for supporting the role that libraries play in our society. The opportunity is to extend the Council's interests to every aspect of information delivery service, in order to help libraries position themselves to remain the primary source of information delivery.

The environment in which libraries operate today is made increasingly complex by budget constraints, rising operating costs, higher demand for service, developing technologies, and a growing number of alternative information delivery systems. Clearly, new approaches to service delivery, internal processing, and organizational issues must be found.

The pressures facing libraries are such that change is essential if libraries are to continue to maintain the quality of their services. It is essential that we act to shape the future, not wait to let it shape us. For we face a paradox of change that is deceptively simple: in a changing environment one must change the remain stable. For libraries to remain a significant force, they must change; if they do not change, they will be unable to maintain their ability to serve.

The Council intends to continue to be a vital force for change in the library arena. But beyond that, the Council is also concerned with the broader issues faced by related information service providers, including computer centers, database services, bibliographic utilities, university presses, community information centers, and other facilities and services that are emerging as the information resources of the future.

Libraries themselves are unlike any other information services in that they strike a balance between content and channel. They are concerned with what is delivered as much as with the technology by which it is delivered. Libraries need to retain that emphasis on content while focusing more intently on information delivery, integrating into their mission the concerns of the broader communities in which they reside.



Libraries must be viewed as information delivery systems, not warehouses. In order to move the library community toward this view, we must change the motivation of those who manage libraries, and the problem of motivation rests with how the library leader of today and tomorrow will be measured. If they continue to be measured on the basis of collection size, the number of staff supervised, or the number of computers and databases controlled, we will have static, unresponsive organizations that fail to serve their users as fully as they could. New measures of performance must be developed to help drive the change process, and library leaders themselves must develop these measures. They must choose a new philosophy of information service leadership.

My predecessor, Warren J. Haas, noted that the function of librarianship is to promote and continuously improve the ability of society, and of each individual, to make use of what has been previously learned or created. That process of continuous improvement calls for a willingness to commit to lifelong learning and development on the part of the library community as well; that commitment is especially true for its leaders. The Council is uniquely positioned as a fulcrum for change to help library leaders—and the library and information service community as a whole—to serve society to the fullest. The Council is committed to seeking out and funding those organizations and individuals who believe in the process of continuous improvement for libraries and the library profession. It is only through this commitment that we will enable society continually to reap the benefits that libraries can offer.

AV David Perimon

W. David Penniman President



# Program Review

# **CLR-Managed Projects**

The Council's activities as an operating foundation involve projects for which it not only provides funds but enlists participants and serves as the secretariat. During fiscal 1991, several important projects culminated in Council publications, and another resulted in the award of four \$100,000 grants to research universities. These and other projects are described below. Participants in each of these projects are listed on pages 34-36.

#### **Professional Education**

#### Academic Library Management Intern Program

The Academic Library Management Intern Program is offered biennially by the Council for librarians who have an interest in the administration of large libraries and who wish to improve their management abilities. Interns typically spend the academic year working with the director and senior administrative staff of a large and well-managed research library. Individual programs vary, but all interns observe and participate in management activities and undertake special assignments. The goal of the program is to expose interns to the complex array of policy matters and operating problems of large research libraries.

The 1990-91 Academic Library Management Interns and their locations were Susan Klingberg, Princeton University; Margaret L. Morrison, University of Chicago; and Virginia Steel, Brown University. As fiscal 1991 ended, preparations were under way for the 1992-93 intern program.



14

#### CLR/Kellogg Fellows

In December 1990 the Council announced a new project to ease the growing shortage of library science educators by helping graduate students in the field finish their degrees more rapidly than they would without financial support. The W. K. Kellogg Foundation of Battle Creek, Michigan, is sponsoring the CLR/Kellogg Fellows program on an experimental basis in 1991-1992 to provide dissertation-year fellowships to six advanced doctoral students in library and information science. CLR/Kellogg Fellows for 1991-1992 were announced in June 1991. Their names, dissertation topics, and locations are:

Ann P. Bishop, "Impact of Informal Electronic Communication on R&D Work," Syracuse University

Philip Doty, "Norms of Science and Electronic Research Networks," Syracuse University

Tina Maragou Hovekamp, "Unionization as it Relates to the Employees' Work Attitudes: Work Values, Job Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment of Professional Employees in Library Institutions," University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Dee Andy Michel, "A File Structure Model of Library Search Behavior," University of California at Los Angeles

Herbert Snyder, "A Study of the Effects of Electronic Storage of Government Information on the Freedom of Information Act: An Empirical Study with Policy Recommendations," Syracuse University

Sherry L. Vellucci, "Bibliographic Relationships Among Musical Bibliographic Entities: A Conceptual Analysis of Music Represented in a Library Catalog with a Taxonomy of the Relationships Discovered," Columbia University

#### Library Schools in Research Universities

The *Thirty-fourth Annual Report* described the ongoing work of the Advisory Committee on Library Education, which ended its service in November 1990. A paper summarizing the committee's findings and recommendations was published as the second part of a Council publication, *Council on Library Resources Program Reports* (December 1990). The text of that report, "Library Schools in Research Universities," is reprinted as an appendix (see page 27).



The Council has long devoted a large proportion of its funds to improving bibliographic systems and services, much of it through the now-ended Bibliographic Service Development Program. To extend the work carried out under that program, CLR established the Bibliographic Services Study Committee in 1987. A major focus of the committee's work has been the National Coordinated Cataloging Program (NCCP), a pilot project designed to test the idea that a set of libraries, working with the Library of Congress, can produce complete and accurate cataloging records to national standards, for national distribution. This important undertaking will help ensure that total national expenditures for bibliographic control will be kept as low as possible while maintaining high quality. The committee's review of NCCP, published in August 1990 as *The National Coordinated Cataloging Program: An Assessment of the Pilot Project*, is available without charge from the Council.

One important aspect of cataloging is the assignment of subject terms to describe content. The automation of large bibliographic files has greatly increased both the interest in and capability for searching for information by subject. However, the system complexity induced by subject subdivisions has become a serious handicap in automated systems, and greatly complicates the efforts of the libraries now participating in the National Coordinated Cataloging Program.

In response to these problems, a national conference was held in May 1991 to address the need to simplify subject heading practices and allow more latitude in assigning terms to describe content. The CLR-supported conference, which was attended by experts and national leaders concerned with bibliographic services, was developed by the Library of Congress staff with assistance from the CLR Bibliographic Services Study Committee and from NCCP participants. The proceedings of the conference were scheduled to be published later in the year.



#### Science and Engineering

#### CLR/National Science Foundation Project

A project begun in 1989 to explore selected aspects of scientific and engineering communication culminated with the publication of *Communications in Support of Science and Engineering* in August 1990. The report contains a discussion paper, transactions of a conference held in October 1989, a summary of the conference, a paper on uses of scientific information resources, and a report of a pilot study on library resources and research productivity in science and engineering. A limited number of copies of the report are available from the Council.

#### A National Engineering Information Service

The Council has been asked by the United Engineering Trustees/ Engineering Foundation to assemble the individuals and resources necessary to conduct an Engineering Foundation Conference in June 1992 to explore the establishment of a national engineering information service. In June 1991, the Council engaged the services of David M. Liston, Jr., P.E., to help plan the conference.

#### Books for Indian Colleges

Since 1988, the Council has managed a program funded by the Pew Charitable Trusts to ship surplus books from the Exchange and Gift Division of the Library of Congress to college libraries on American Indian reservations. Over nineteen hundred books were shipped to ten colleges in fiscal year 1991. The books covered a wide range of topics, including anthropology, fiction, geography, history, medicine, and women's studies.



The principal objective of the CLR Remarch Program is to encourage improvement in library management by stimulating long-range planning, by supporting exploration of the interests and information needs of the scholarly community, and by encouraging analytical investigation of specific questions, especially those related to the application of computers and related technologies to information services.

During this fiscal year, the Council published a review of the CLR Research Program as the first part of *Council on Library Resources Program Reports*. The report provides an overview of the Council's Research Program activities to date: more than thirty grants and contracts, many meetings and conferences, and special studies that have contributed to program objectives.

Also mentioned in the review is a special CLR grant program, "Setting Library Policies and Priorities in Research Universities," which was announced in the spring of 1990. The impetus for the new program came from the recently completed "Statement from the Research Library Committee," which noted that the information base for teaching and learning was being rapidly transformed by integrated information technologies—computers, telecommunications, and text storage systems—but that it was far from clear how libraries and faculties would deal with digitized information and virtually unbounded means of access. It was also uncertain whether universities and their libraries would productively embrace information-age capabilities or be engulfed by them.

As a consequence, the Committee Statement concluded, universities had to undertake a fundamental rethinking of library and information service objectives, including a redefinition of the role of the research library. It further recommended that faculty and librarians should join forces to set realistic, forward-looking objectives for the research resources and services that would be needed, and should actively promote collaboration among research libraries.

Building upon these recommendations, the Council issued an invitation to research universities for proposals to undertake "policy studies and implementation planning related to future library resources and services." The announcement of the grant program



disclaimed any fixed CLR view of how a policy-setting program should be organized or which of many possible policy issues most need attention at individual universities, but it did suggest the importance of having both faculty and librarians participate, and of involving university administrators more deeply than simply approving the proposal for funds. Some flavor of the Research Library Committee's thinking made its way into the announcement in the form of specific questions the Committee had discussed, e.g.:

- What are the information requirements of various disciplines and how are they changing?
- How will access to electronic text and information services for faculty and students be managed and funded?
- What are realistic expectations for, and limits to, cooperative collecting programs among institutions?
- How will new technologies affect space requirements for collection storage?

The Council offered to make two-year grants of up to \$100,000 each, contingent upon university contribution to funding and assurance of adequate staffing as well as faculty and administration participation. Twenty-one proposals were received by the October 1990 deadline and were reviewed both by CLR staff and by external reviewers, whose recommendation was the funding of four proposals from Columbia University; Harvard University; a consortium of three universities (Duke, North Carolina State, and the University of North Carolina) called the Triangle Research Libraries Network; and the SUNY Consortium, a collaborative effort among four campuses of the State University of New York—Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, and Stony Brook. Descriptions of the funded projects are found on pages 18-21.



19

#### CLR Grants and Contracts, 1990/1991

#### I. Library Operations and Planning

The Council sponsors a wide-ranging program of research and analysis concerning all aspects of library operations. Its primary aim is to consider the future form of research libraries. In practice, this has meant making grants in the areas of research, access services, and cataloging and bibliographic services. Grants made in these areas by the Council in fiscal 1991 are listed below.

#### 1. Research

The research program concentrates on strategic planning and management issues in research libraries, broadly defined. The bulk of funds in this program area was allocated to the four grants made under the special program, "Setting Library Policies and Priorities in Research Universities," described below. All of the research grants share the common goal of providing better and more efficient service to the users of research libraries.

# Special Grant Program: "Setting Library Policies and Priorities in Research Universities"

#### Columbia University

Objective: To examine users' and librarians' opinions about the effectiveness of current collection programs, identify alternative strategies for providing information access and delivery, estimate the costs of those strategies, and establish criteria for evaluating effectiveness of alternatives.

As the first phase in a larger institutional planning effort focused on library acquisitions and information delivery. Columbia will study information strategies in three science departments that maintain their own libraries. The three departments will include one applied



18

science department, one in the laboratory or life sciences, and one whose faculty interests are primarily theoretical. Against a background of heavy reliance in science upon serials whose prices are escalating, economic and organizational issues in providing electronic databases, and the likelihood of some duplication in collections as well as staffing and service costs in a decentralized system, the project will seek a better understanding of scientists' information needs and preferences, including an examination of delivery time requirements and issues in replacing print formats with electronic ones.

#### Harvard University

Objective: To conduct analytic studies of users' requirements, new technical capacities, and opportunities for collaboration, whose results will inform library policies and priorities.

In one study, groups of faculty, students, and library staff will identify strengths and weaknesses of the Harvard College Library, as well as the expectations and the future research and teaching needs of its users. A fundamental concern of this process is to understand how coming technological changes will affect current practices in the library and how change might be best implemented to meet scholarly needs.

Secondly, technical experts and library users will be jointly involved in a study concerned with making materials in dispersed or remote storage available through electronic record keeping, coupled with multi-channel transfer of materials by fax and high-speed data networks, in order to supplement rather than replace the physical movement of books. Finally, since innovations in storage devices, optical scanning, and electronic transmission suggest the importance of cooperative collection development and the preservation of materials. Harvard plans to explore such opportunities initially among neighboring institutions and perhaps later on a more expanded basis.

#### SUNY Consortium

Objective: To develop and test multi-level committee structures for planning and policy setting related to an integrated acquisitions plan for several universities in a statewide system.

The libraries at four of the State University of New York campuses (Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, and Stony Brook) are undertaking the development of policies and plans to implement a program of cooperative collection development and resource sharing. Technological



developments make increased levels of cooperation possible among libraries. The cost of serials makes cooperation attractive. The planning activity aims to develop practical procedures for achieving institutional aspirations without needless duplication and within available resources.

The four University libraries are committed to sharing information about each other's collections and about new serial subscriptions and candidates for cancellation. They will explore joint licensing of periodical databases in electronic format, as well as the feasibility of developing an efficient, reliable, and affordable electronic delivery system. On each local campus, committees that involve library managers, university faculty, students, and senior administrative officers will oversee collection of data on serials holdings and use, study the process, and analyze policies and practices regarding resource sharing and document delivery.

#### Triangle Research Libraries Network (TRLN): Duke University, University of North Carolina, and North Carolina State University

Objective: To extend programmatic cooperative collection development to the sciences and electronic media, to develop mechanisms for faculty participation in information resource development, and to explore policies for collaboration in providing information service.

These three universities have long-standing program, of collaboration in collection development for print and microform materials, principally in the social sciences and humanities. The extension of these programs to electronic media and the development of new cooperative programs in the sciences require a systematic, interinstitutional, constituency-based advisory process to ensure that they are responsive to user needs.

Initially, faculty, administrators, and librarians from the three institutions will focus attention on issues of collaboration and on analytical studies of collaboration and communication among faculty; organizational and legal barriers to collaboration; overlap in collections and databases among campuses: budget models and funding mechanisms to support collaborative information resource delivery; and advisory group structures for interinstitutional collaboration. A second phase will be the execution of analytic studies whose results will influence strategies for cooperative programs and enable faculty-librarian-administrator working groups across the three campuses to



20

develop specific new policies and funding structures. A final phase will be dissemination of the project experience and the results of analytic studies to relevant publics.

#### Indiana University of Pennsylvania

Partial support to continue and extend work on enhanced subject access in online catalogs. At present, large online catalogs often yield too many or too few citations to the user. This study will focus on the development of an intelligent system that will narrow or expand the set of citations found in order to make the online catalog an effective reference tool for the user. Principal Investigator: Mary Micco, Professor, Department of Computer Science.

#### University of California at Berkeley

To fund a project entitled "The Humanist and the Library: Promoting New Scholarship through Collaborative Interaction between Humanists and Librarians." The aim of this project is to teach members of the humanities faculty and graduate students the capabilities of online access systems and databases, as well as the technical skills necessary to their use. This will be accomplished by grounding the educational process in working relationships between humanities researchers and professional library staff. Principal Investigators: Professor Paul Alpers, Director, and Christina M. Gillis, Associate Director, Townsend Center for the Humanities.

#### University of California at Los Angeles

A comparative study of the impact of technology on the structure of functional departments in three research libraries. Principal Investigator: Beverly P. Lynch, Dean, Graduate School of Library and Information Science.

#### University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

To fund a second Advanced Research Institute. The purpose of the Institute is to enhance the quality of research by individuals who are among the most promising and capable researchers in the field and whose focus is on questions related to the library in transition. CLR funded the successful first Advanced Research Institute in 1990. Project Director: Leigh Estabrook, Dean, Graduate School of Library and Information Science:



21 23

#### University of Pittsburgh

To support a study of similarities between jobs in libraries and computing centers. The researcher will develop and test a methodology to analyze and evaluate the tasks of librarians and computer specialists in colleges that have integrated their information technology. The results will be useful to college and university personnel systems in classifying jobs and compensating workers. Principal Investigator: Anne Woodsworth, Associate Professor, School of Library and Information Science.

#### Yale University

To establish a rapid, alternative electronic distribution system for mathematics preprints and to explore how the electronic distribution of documents affects their use. The Instant Mathematics Preprints project at Yale University seeks to establish, among interested mathematics departments at major universities, an alternative distribution system for mathematic preprints. The purpose of the project is to open the preprint distribution circuit to interested mathematicians outside current mailing lists and to ensure fast and broad communication of results, which will facilitate more direct interchange of research ideas. Project Coordinators: Katherine Branch, Head, Science Libraries, and Ronald Coifman, Professor, Mathematics Department.

#### 2. Access Services

Improving the ability of libraries to provide access to information is a central focus of the Council. The grants described in this section contribute to the achievement of this goal.

#### University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Support for a pre-survey mailing, a component of a comprehensive analysis of college and university archives in the United States. This project will update the 1980 Burkel-Cook survey of American archives. Principal Investigator: William J. Maher, Assistant University Archivist.



#### White House Conference on Library and Information Services

CLR funds for the 1991 White House Conference will support the preparation of delegates for their roles as state representatives through video, audio, and written instruction and will aid participants with hands-on access to the latest in information services at the conference. Project Director: Jean M. Curtis, Executive Director.

## 3. Cataloging and Bibliographic Services

A key element in providing equitable access is the national and international structure of cataloging systems and bibliographic services. Several projects were funded in fiscal 1991 to make that structure stronger and more efficient.

#### The Library of Congress

Partial support for telecommunication costs for the National Coordinated Cataloging Program. This program is aimed at coordinating the work of several participating libraries in creating MARC (MAchine-Readable Cataloging) records. Principal Investigator: Henriette D. Avram. Associate Librarian for Collections Services.

#### Obio State University

To fund a study of innovative library projects (with an emphasis on expert systems) that apply cutting-edge technology to library operations. The researcher will focus on the criteria that have made these projects successful. Principal Investigator: Virginia Tiefel, Director, Library User Education, University Libraries.

#### University of California at Santa Cruz

To fund a study designed to capture detailed statistical information on remote usage of the Melvyl® Library System. This system is a pioneering public access catalog system that has experienced dramatic increases in use over the past few years. Principal Investigators: Terry Ellen Ferl and Larry Millsap, Librarians, University Library.



#### II. Librarianship

any of the grants listed below are funded under the CLR Fellows Program and the Cooperative Research Program. These programs are designed to assist librarians who wish to do research connected to library operations and services, or other professional projects of importance, either alone or with other librarians or academic faculty members.

#### **Drexel University**

To fund an invitational conference of leading public library researchers and managers designed to develop an agenda for advancing the state of measurement and evaluation in public libraries. The conference focused on the critical areas of research and development in evaluation of public library performance. Principal Investigators: Thomas Childers, Professor, College of Information Studies, Drexel University, and Nancy Van House, Associate Professor, School of Library and Information Studies, University of California at Berkeley.

#### Maxine H. Reneker, School of Library Service, Columbia University

To fund a study that will examine by qualitative and quantitative analysis the set of information needs of members of the academic community in a research university. The relationships among characteristics of the information seeker, specific kinds of information need, the information environment as perceived by the information seeker, sources used to satisfy the need, and the factors involved in satisfying the information need will be studied.

#### Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

To compile a directory of international collections of children's literature in the United States. Such a directory will be useful to scholars of children's literature and to practitioners concerned with introducing multicultural materials into the classroom. Principal Investigator: Doris Dale, Professor, Department of Curriculum and Instruction, College of Education.



#### State University of New York at Buffalo

The investigators will undertake a survey of academic science libraries to determine the extent to which library resources are being used to provide access to nonbibliographic computer files. The number of such files used for research in the sciences is growing rapidly, and the vast majority are not accessible through commercial online vendors but are available for local mounting. This survey is aimed at increasing awareness of these materials and stimulating discussion of related collection development and service issues. Principal Investigators: Renee B. Bush, Senior Assistant Librarian, Science and Engineering Library, and William E. McGrath, Professor, School of Information and Library Studies.

#### Texas A&M University

To fund research aimed at establishing guidelines, procedures, and methodology for automated collection analysis and development based on the example of the business collections of the Sterling C. Evans Library at Texas A&M University. Aspects of NOTIS as an integrated library system, OCLC/AMIGOS collection analysis CD-ROM, and OCLC/EPIC service will provide assistance in the automated collection analysis and development. Principal Investigator: Suzanne D. Gyeszly, Coordinator, Social Sciences and Preservation, Sterling C. Evans Library.

#### Texas A&M University

To examine through statistical means the use of all currently received periodicals housed in the Current Periodicals Department and their back volumes in both paper and microformat. This study, in defining use and comparing it to factors such as subscription costs, impact factors, and relative value as reported by faculty, is intended to provide a model for use when making objective decisions concerning serials control. Principal Investigators: Marifran Bustion, Head, Serials Department, Sterling C. Evans Library, and John L. Eltinge, Assistant Professor, Statistics Department.

#### University of California at Los Angeles

To explore the problems associated with discrepancies in the assignment of Library of Congress subject headings. The researchers will test the hypothesis that to a considerable degree there is a correct way to assign Library of Congress subject headings and that objective measures exist for evaluating choices made by catalogers. Principal



Investigators: Elaine Svenonius, Professor, Graduate School of Library and Information Science, and Dorothy McGarry, Head of Cataloging, Physical Sciences and Technology Libraries.

#### University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Support for research on the history of Argentina's National Library under the directorship of Hugo Wast, 1931-1955. Principal Investigator: Allan Metz, Assistant Professor, Latin American Library Services Unit.



# Library Schools in Research Universities

Warren J. Haas

During much of 1990 an Advisory Committee on Professional Education (see page 34) assisted the Council on Library Resources in its effort to explore the problems of and prospects for the professional education of librarians. This text is the author's interpretation of the substance of the Committee's discussions and does not represent fully the views of any single member. In a real sense, it is a status report, and an incomplete one at that, with much yet to be added. It is also not a balanced report, for it concentrates on problems more than on strengths. But the problems deserve emphasis, for they are real and must be tended to if professional education is to flourish intellectually, which is, in the end, what counts.

#### Introduction

Not long ago, Derek Bok asserted that "education schools will continue to be relegated to the margins of university life if they do not raise the quality of their teaching and research." The evidence is strong that comparable observations are being made about library schools (witness the recent closings of several schools with long and distinguished records). It is especially disturbing that the quality and even the substance of education for librarianship is a matter of concern at this point in time, when the basic information structure on which not only scholarship and education rest, but upon which much of society depends, is in a dynamic and promising period of change. The concern of many of our most visionary educational and public leaders that the promise of the "information age" is taking shape too slowly and unevenly is, in part, a sign of frustration with librarians or, more accurately, frustration with librarianship. Justified or not (and there are many explanations for the present discomfiture), the feeling



is real. There are serious problems that need to be addressed seriously by librarians and library educators, a conclusion that is already endorsed by some and is a matter of discussion for many.

These notes summarize at least a portion of that discussion and, more important, suggest some ways of proceeding. But even at this early point, it is certain that library schools in research universities must take the lead in making needed educational improvements if librarianship as a profession is to meet personal and public expectations.

The ability of society, and of each individual, to make use of what has previously been learned or created is a matter of fundamental and enduring importance. The function of librarianship is to promote and continuously improve that ability. Librarians capable of contributing to that goal with energy and imagination are the profession's principal asset, but given the magnitude of the assignment, they are too few in number. Library schools must educate more librarians who comprehend fully the obligations of the profession and who bring to their work the exceptional range of capabilities the times require. If librarians succeed because of their education, library educators will have succeeded.

As with all critiques, the rish is great that the efforts and successes of those individuals and institutions who already sense the form of the future and are constructively at work giving it substance will be too easily overlooked. It is imperative that progress already made be recognized and enhanced, not written off in an ill-advised search for utopia.

#### Summary of Conclusions

1. Graduate schools in library and information science are, typically, small in faculty size and enrollment when compared with other graduate professional schools. Further, their operating budget—income and expenditures—tends to be low.

Comment: By itself, small size (but, obviously, not so small as to be non-viable) is not necessarily an insurmountable handicap, provided that the quality of the faculty is uniformly high, admission is clearly competitive, and both faculty and students are visible in and contributing to the entire university. But the reality is that schools



must find ways to expand their contributions to their universities so that their presence is felt and acknowledged. For example, undergraduate courses, whether in preparation for graduate professional education or to introduce undergraduates to the issues of personal and public importance that are implicit in the information age, need to be developed and offered. It is also essential that productive alliances be formed with the university library, both for the obvious reason that the libraries can be visible and important educational allies, and for the operational reason that each party can contribute to the performance of the other.

2. Teaching (as distinguished from research) is a principal function of all graduate library schools. It follows that the quality of teaching should be uniformly high and the course content should be centered on important and intellectually interesting issues. The academic program of library schools must be viewed as important by the university community as a whole and pertinent to the mission of the university.

Comment: While I am operating from incomplete information, this may be the most serious problem area for library schools, one requiring immediate attention. To begin, library schools in research universities should join forces in an energetic and quickly moving effort to prepare a brief, unambiguous statement on the intent and substance of graduate education in information studies. Second, each school should find ways to assure that improving the quality of teaching and the opportunities for learning are high priorities for both faculty and students.

3. While educational programs of high quality are naturally expected, the library schools in research universities have a distinctive and parallel obligation to develop and maintain the research capabilities required to press forward the frontiers of knowledge in all facets of library and information science. The research effort and its ultimate influence on practice are expected to match in quality and importance that of other university components.

Comment: In recent years, no single topic in the broad arena of information studies has had the attention accorded research productivity and quality. Development of research "agendas" has occupied federal education agencies and professional bodies with little in the way of visible results. The facts are that too little research is done, not enough that is done is distinctive and influential, and there is inad-



equate communication among researchers. The reasons are many; faculty members have heavy teaching loads, funds for research are not readily or consistently available, there are many faculty members who are not interested in undertaking research, what research there is is widely dispersed (both in and outside library schools), and there is too little productive communication between librarians and the members of the research faculties. The problem will become more serious unless appropriate corrective steps are taken, because a majority of all current tenured library school faculty members are unlikely to be teaching by the year 2000.

It is possible that, for a decade at least, an "institute for advanced information studies" should be created and operated as a collaborative enterprise and as a national base for information studies research. With imagination, funding, institutional self-effacement, and constructive leadership, such an enterprise could bring many benefits. Productive faculty from member schools would gain visibility and more opportunities for support; prospects would be improved for bringing individuals from complementary disciplines into the field of information studies (increasing the pool of potential faculty); librarians and other professionals with research interests and operating problems could be brought into a receptive intellectual setting; and doctoral and postdoctoral students would have improved prospects for support and constructive affiliation. The projected institute would have to become an integral part of each partner, not a competitor. By the same token, each member would have to contribute to the work and prestige of the institute.

4. The library schools in research universities need to assert that their principal objective is to educate students, not to train them. Virgil Hancher (one-time president of the University of Iowa) asserted his views of professional education in 1944. Nearly fifty years later, they are still sound. To paraphrase:

"Every professional student at graduation should have:

- A minimum body of basic and fundamental knowledge which is commonly possessed by members of the profession
- Skill in handling source materials and in adding to one's previously acquired body of knowledge
- The ability to think, analyze and act in the presence of a new or unprecedented situation
- An ethical attitude toward the users, to which a member of the profession may put his or her knowledge and skill.



• Finally, professional schools must stand against the illusion of practicality with which professionalism cloaks itself....narrow expertness inhibits creativity and adaptability in ways our society can ill afford. [Professional education must] enable graduates to continue to learn throughout life."

Comment: The implications of taking this step and then following through are many and important. Admissions, student quality, faculty capabilities, the curriculum, program length, etc., will all be affected. Libraries will also be forced to give serious attention to the matter of internships and training capabilities. Most of all, it will end ambiguity about the purpose of professional education.

5. The demographic characteristics of library school students reported by Heim and Moen¹ and the motivating factors or habits of students, practitioners, and educators discussed by White and Mort² do not present an inspiring picture of professional vitality. Put simply, all components (schools, libraries, and even students) tend to follow the path of least resistance: If it weren't for visible exceptions to the norm, prospects for improvement would be bleak, but there are many notable exceptions—first-rate students, imaginative and effective faculty, demanding and progressive employers. The challenge is to make the exceptions the new norm.

Comment: Promising individuals need to be identified earlier and pressed to complete their education in their twenties so that they have the years ahead for a full professional career; employers need to rethink the composition of their staffs and demand educational credentials that reflect the importance of the work; library schools need to specify additional credentials for admission and expectations for graduation. If admission to the profession is ritualistic rather than intellectually demanding and substantive, the profession itself will be seen as one of little consequence. Practicing librarians are very influential in shaping the pool of applicants. A major target in improving the composition of the pool of applicants to library schools must be the best practitioners.



<sup>1.</sup> Heim, Kathleen M., and William E. Moen. Occupational Entry. Library and Information Science Students' Attitudes, Demographics and Aspirations Survey. Chicago. American Library Association, 1989.

<sup>2.</sup> White, Herbert S., and Sarah L. Mort. "The Accredited Library Education Program as Preparation for Professional Library Work." *Library Quarterly* 60, no. 3 (July 1990).

6. The generic librarian—i.e., librarians with a basic professional education but no substantive knowledge of any subject field or an important area of specialization—will be increasingly at a disadvantage in many large or specialized library settings. It seems likely that formal specialized education will be required in information technology, in the management of library systems and other information service organizations, in the structure and analysis of knowledge, and in information organization and information services for broad subject fields—requiring, in turn, in-depth understanding of pertinent academic disciplines. Basic education, for an increasing number of students, should be supplemented by a full program in a specialty that may take a year or more to complete and that, in some circumstances, might be undertaken in collaboration with a research library.

Comment: The core of knowledge of information studies needs to be reconsidered; it is not simply a synthesis of what libraries do. Even the general library education program needs to emphasize issues rather than procedures. The complexity of professional obligations and responsibilities has greatly increased in recent years and will continue to do so in the future. Library operating performance, and the work of individuals who depend on libraries, will be greatly affected by the skills and abilities of librarians. The case could be made that many current library problems stem from a shortage of well-trained professionals with distinctive capabilities in areas of primary importance.

7. Librarianship (a generic term meant to include the full range of information service organizations and information management functions) lacks definition and cohesion in the public mind and even in professional circles. Until there is a well-articulated and widely understood definition of the profession, making improvements in recruiting, funding, and even performance will be handicapped.

Comment: The introduction to this paper addresses this matter and even proposes a simple definition: "The function of librarianship is to promote and continuously improve the ability of society, and of each individual, to make use of what has previously been learned or created." This may, or may not, offer an approach to a full definition, but it is essential that educators and working professionals join forces not only to shape a modern—and credible, easily comprehended—definition but to give the definition meaning. If library schools are to adjust their objectives and methods, the understanding and endorse-



Ð

ment of the professional community will be essential. Library schools must find ways to foster a long-term and constructive affiliation with the profession itself.

8. If the library schools of research universities are to take the lead in recasting library education and building an influential and credible research program, an all-out collaboration of the strongest schools is required to enhance and reinforce even the most ambitious institutional efforts.

Comment: Consolidation of strengths offers the only realistic prospect for success in making fundamental, long-term improvement in professional education. An opportunity exists to invigorate the profession, but it will require great effort by first-rate institutions and individuals—faculty and academic officers alike—with a personal commitment to librarianship in all its forms.



# Program Committees and Project Participants

#### Advisory Committee on Library Education

Bert Boyce

Louisiana State University

Daniel Callison

Indiana University

Leigh Estabrook

University of Illinois

Charlie Hurt

University of Arizona

Beverly Lynch

University of California, Los Angeles

Deanna Marcum

The Catholic University of America

Jane Robbins

University of Wisconsin-Madison

F. William Summers

Florida State University

Robert Warner

University of Michigan

Robert Wedgeworth

Columbia University

Herbert White

Indiana University

#### Bibliographic Services Study Committee

Carol Mandel (Chair)

Columbia University

Dorothy Gregor

University of California, San Diego

Paul Kantor (Consultant)

Tantalus Inc.

Martin Runkle

University of Chicago

#### Linked Systems Project

#### LSP Policy Committee

Henriette Avram

Library of Congress

**David Bishop** 

University of Illinois



James Michalko

The Research Libraries Group, Inc.
K. Wayne Smith

OCLC, Inc.

### LSP Technical Committee

David Bishop (Chair) - University of Illinois

James Aagaard

Northwestern University Library

Edwin Buchinski

National Library of Canada

Wayne Davison

The Research Libraries Group, Inc.

Ray Denenberg

Library of Congress

Ron Jordan

OCLC: Inc.

John Kolman

NOTIS Systems, Inc.

Larry Learn

OCLC, Inc.

William Mooney

Utlas International U.S. Inc.

David Pcolar

Triangle Research Libraries Network

Robert Schultheisz

National Library of Medicine

Keith Thomas

Geac Computers International, Inc.

Ron Wood

Emory University

## LSP Bibliographic Applications Committee

Henriette Avram

Library of Congress

Kathleen Bales

The Research Libraries Group, Inc.

Liz Bishoff

OCLC, Inc.

Larry Dixson

Library of Congress

Susan Kallenbach

New York University

Sally McCallum

Library of Congress



<sub>35</sub> 37

Joe Matthews

Geac Computers International, Inc.

William Mooney

Utlas International U.S. Inc.

Patrick J. Mullin

Triangle Research Libraries Network

Glenn Patton

OCLC, Inc.

Lennie Stovel

The Research Libraries Group, Inc.

Gary Strawn

Northwestern University

Cindy Wichman

NOTIS Systems, Inc.

## Proposal Review Committee (Fall 1990)

Frank Burke

University of Maryland

Deanna Marcum

The Catholic University of America

Sarah Thomas

National Agricultural Library

Duane Webster

Association of Research Libraries

# Proposal Review Committee (Spring 1991)

Deanna Marcum (Chair)

The Catholic University of America

David Bishop

University of Illinois

**Dorothy Gregor** 

University of California, San Diego

Nina Matheson

The Johns Hopkins University

Warren Seibert

National Library of Medicine



# Publications and Reports Resulting from CLR Programs, 1990/1991

# Publications of the Council and CLR Staff

"Academic Library Management Intern Program, 1992-1993." Brochure, April 1991.

CLR Reports (newsletter).

Council on Library Resources Program Reports: I. A Review of the CLR Research Program, 1986-1990. II. Library Schools in Research Universities. December 1990.

Communications in Support of Science and Engineering: A Report to the National Science Foundation from the Council on Library Resources. August 1990.

"Library Schools in Research Universities." *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science* 17, no. 3 (February/March 1991): 19-21.

The National Coordinated Cataloging Program: An Assessment of the Pilot Project. August 1990.

Penniman, W., David. "Preparing for Future Information Delivery Systems." Presented as the Second Annual John T. Corrigan Memorial Lecture, 3 May 1991. CNLIA Occasional Paper No. 2. Washington, D.C.: Council of National Library & Information Associations, 1991.

Thompson, Mary Agnes. "Council on Library Resources, 1990," In *The Bowker Annual Library and Book Trade Almanac*, 36th ed., 302-305. New York: Bowker, 1991.

## Publications by Grantees and Contractors

Boyce, Bert R., and Judith I. Boyce. "How Many Bookmobiles?" On the Road 1, no. 4 (Summer 1990) 13, 15.

Cataloging: The Professional Development Cycle. Edited by Sheila S. Intner and Janet Swan Hill. New Directions in Information Management, Number 26. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1991.



3° 39

Chan, Lois Mai. *Immroth's Guide to the Library of Congress Classification*, 4th ed. Library Science Text Series. Englewood, Colo.: Libraries Unlimited, 1990.

Cherry, Joan M. "Mental Models and User Performance with Online Catalogues: Software for Conceptually-Based User Instruction." *Current Research in Library and Information Science* 8, no. 1 (March 1990): 7.

Cherry, Joan M., James Turner, and Marshall Clinton. "Online Public Access Catalogues (OPACs): Design of Instructional Software for User Training." In *Proceedings of the 53rd ASIS Annual Meeting*, vol. 27, 1990, 143-50.

Conserving and Prescrving Materials in Nonbook Formats. Papers presented at the Allerton Park Institute held November 6-9, 1988, edited by Kathryn Luther Henderson and William T Henderson. Urbana-Champaign: Graduate School of Library and Information Science, University of Illinois, 1991.

Davidson, Lloyd A., Kevin Duca, and Gilbert Krulee. "Hire a Computer Helper: A Brief Guide to Expert Systems Management and Applications in Academic Libraries." *Illinois Libraries* 72, no. 8 (November 1990): 587-92.

D'Elia, George, and Lois F. Lunin, eds. "Perspectives On... Integrated Information Centers within Academic Environments." *Journal of the American Society for Information Science* 42, no. 2 (March 1991): 117-51.

Drabenstott, Karen Markey, and Diane Vizine-Goetz. "Improving Subject Searching in Online Catalogs." *Annual Review of OCLC Research* (July 1989-June 1990): 42-43.

\_\_\_\_\_. "Search Trees for Subject Searching in Online Catalogs." *Library Hi Tech* 8, no. 3 (1990): 7-20.

Hardesty, Larry. "The Bottomless Pit Revisited." *College & Research Libraries* 52, no. 3 (May 1991): 219-29.

Information Literacy and Education for the 21st Century: Toward an Agenda for Action. A symposium sponsored by the U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science and the American Association of School Librarians, 14-16 April 1989, Leesburg, Virginia. Washington, D.C.: NCLIS, July 1990.

Library Automation and Networking: New Tools for a New Identity, European Conference, 9-11 May 1990, Brussels, edited by Herman Liebaers and Marc Walckiers. Munich: K.G. Saur. 1991.

"Music Librarianship in America: Papers of a Symposium Held 5-Cottober 1989 Honoring the Establishment of the Richard F. French Librarianship at Harvard University," edited by Michael Ochs. *Harvard Library Bulletin*, n.s. 2, no. 1 (Spring 1991).



4() 38

National Conference on the Development of Statewide Preservation Programs. Report of a conference held March 1-3, 1989, in Washington, D.C. Cambridge, Mass.: Office of the University Publisher, Harvard University, 1991. (Distributed by the Commission on Preservation and Access, Washington, D.C.)

Neuman, Delia. "Designing Library Instruction for Undergraduates: Combining Instructional Systems Design and Naturalistic Inquiry." *College & Research Libraries* 52, no. 2 (March 1991): 165-75.

Person, Ruth J., and George Charles Newman. "Selection of the University Librarian." *College & Research Libraries* 51, no. 4 (July 1990): 346-59.

Ricker, Alison S., and Jeffrey A. Witmer. "Library Support for Science Research and Education in Leading Liberal Arts Colleges: Survey Results." *College & Research Libraries* 51, no. 5 (September 1990): 401-412.

Tenopir, Carol, and Jung Soon Ro. *Full Text Databases*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1990.

Tenopir, Carol, Diane Nahl-Jakobovits, and Dara Lee Howard. "Full Text Search Strategies and Modifications: The Role of the Searcher and the Role of the System." In *Proceedings of the Eleventh National Online Meeting, May 1-3, 1990*, 389-99. Medford, N.J.: Learned Information, 1990.

\_\_\_\_\_. "Magazines Online: Users and Uses of Full-Text." In *ASIS 89: Proceedings of the 52nd ASIS Annual Meeting*, vol. 26, 172-76. Medford, N.J.: Learned Information, 1989.

Think Tank on the Present and Future of the Online Catalog: Proceedings. ALA Midwinter Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, January 11-12, 1991, edited by Noelle Van Pulis. RASD Occasional Papers, Number 9. Chicago, Ill.: Reference and Adult Services Division, American Library Association, 1991.

Walker, Geraldene, and Steven D. Atkinson. "Information Access in the Humanities: Perils and Pitfalls." *Library Hi Tech* 9, no. 1 (1991); 23-3-4.

Werking, Richard Hume. "Collection Growth and Expenditures in Academic Libraries: A Preliminary Inquiry." *College & Research Libraries* 52, no. 1 (January 1991): 5-23.

Wiberley, Stephen E., Jr. "Habits of Humanists: Scholarly Behavior and New Information Technologies." *Library IIi Tech* 9, no. 1 (1991): 17-21.

Wiberley, Stephen E., Jr., Robert Allen Daugherty, and James A. Danowski. "User Persistence in Scanning Postings of a Computer-Driven Information System: LCS." *Library & Information Science Research* 12, no. 4 (October-December 1990): 341-53.



Many project reports are published in the professional literature. Authors retain ownership of the reports and are asked to submit copies to the ERIC database. ERIC document numbers that have been reported to CLR are listed at the end of the citation. Inquiries about reports without an ERIC number should be addressed to the author.

Andrews, Martha. "The Information-Seeking Behavior of Scientists and Graduate Students at INSTAAR." University of Colorado, Boulder, September 1990.

"Archival Administration in the Electronic Information Age: An Advanced Institute for Government Archivists." University of Pittsburgh, School of Library and Information Science, August 1990.

Bellardo, Trudi. "The Development of Online Bibliographic Retrieval Systems, 1960-76." Washington, D.C., July 1990.

Biggs, Mary, and Victor Biggs. "Library and Information Science Faculty: Their Lives as Scholars and Experiences with Publishing, Libraries, Computers, and the Associations and Literatures of the Field (A follow-up to the ACLS Survey of Scholars)." Mercy College, July 1990.

Dowler, Lawrence. "Conference on Research Trends and Library Resources." Harvard University, August 1990.

Edelman, Hendrik, and Myoung Chung Wilson. "Collection Building for Interdisciplinary Research: An Analysis of Input/Output Factors." Rutgers University, August 1990. (Cooperative Research Project Report)

Edgerton, Janet Gebbie, and Raymond G. Taylor. "Detection and Analysis of Editing Activity in an Online Bibliographic System." North Carolina State University, August 1990. (Cooperative Research Project Report)

Kalin, Sally W. "Remote Users of Online Public Access Catalogs: A Survey Report." Pennsylvania State University, January 1991.

Lieberman, Lisa, Roger Noll, and W. Edward Steinmuller. "Economic Analysis and Empirical Protocol for Examining Scholarly Periodicals Pricing." Stanford University, Center for Economic Policy Research, June 1991.

Micco, Mary, and Linda Rambler. "Improving Subject Access in an OPAC." Indiana University of Pennsylvania, August 1990.



Mischo, William H. "Online Catalog Interface Enhancements to Provide Expanded Subject Access through External Bibliographic Databases." University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Library, December 1990.

Musmann, Klaus. "The Diffusion of Technological Innovation in Libraries." University of Redlands, January 1991.

Neuman, Delia, and Rebecca Van Campen Jackson. "MAJIK/I: Hypercard Introduction to the Use of Periodicals." University of Maryland, College Park, June 1990. ED 323 964

Pfeifer, Mark P., and Gwendolyn L. Snodgrass. "The Handling of Invalid Science by Our Nation's Medical Libraries." University of Louisville, Department of Medicine, January 1991.

Schwartz, Candy, and Rich Hines. "Library Services and the Online Campus Gateway." Simmons College, December 1990. (Cooperative Research Project Report)

Stein, Barbara, and Julia Rholes. "Cognitive Style: Implications for Online Database Searchers." University of North Texas, July 1990.

Van Buren, Mary. "Horticultural Core Literature Monographs, 1850-1950." Cornell University, June 30, 1991.

Zipkowitz, Fay, and Elizabeth Futas. "Faculty Replacements in Accredited Programs in Library Schools." University of Rhode Island, January 1991.



# Program Guidelines and Grant Application Procedures

The Council on Library Resources supports work by individuals and organizations on matters pertinent to library service and information systems, with the primary objective of improving the quality and performance of academic and research libraries. Individuals with specific interests and expertise are encouraged to take the initiative and propose for consideration projects within the broad areas of the Council's program, as described in this report.

In addition, the Council sponsors several competitive programs, including the CLR Fellows program, the Cooperative Research program, and the Academic Library Management Intern Program. These programs are described in brochures available from CLR.

# **Application Procedures**

Initial inquiries should state the purpose of the proposed work, indicate methodology, establish the credentials of the responsible individuals, and provide an estimate of total costs and funding requirements. CLR will respond promptly with an indication of interest. If subsequent exploration seems justified, preparation of a complete proposal will be suggested.

Full documentation should include:

- 1. A concise description of the proposed project.
- A thorough explanation of the work to be done, including objectives and methods to be employed. A timetable, pertinent background information, and plans for evaluation of results should also be provided.
- 3. A detailed budget linking costs to project components.
- 4. Curricula vitae of the principal investigators.

Proposals are carefully reviewed by CLR staff and, when necessary, external advisors, who consider such matters as relevance to current CLR interests and activities; relationship to other, similar work; projected costs in the context of the work described; and



importance of anticipated results. The Council also looks for evidence of institutional support, including cost sharing. With the exception of a few cyclical programs, there are no submission deadlines.

Support is not provided for construction or renovation, collection acquisitions, routine operating costs, activities judged to be of limited influence, or work that essentially repeats previous research. CLR does not fund indirect costs or, with rare exceptions, equipment purchases. While CLR, in consultation with its advisors, often initiates and promotes work in program areas, exploratory correspondence and conversation are always welcome, and all proposals receive careful consideration.

All inquiries should be addressed to Council on Library Resources, 1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Suite 313, Washington, D.C. 20036.



# Active Projects Financial Statements



# **Grants and Contracts Active** in Fiscal 1991 (unaudited)

<b>22</b> (			FY 199	91		
	Unpaid 6/30/90		Grants and Contracts djustments)	Payments (Refunds)		Inpaid /30/91
American Library Association	•					
Chicago, III.  Standards for ethical conduct for rare						
book, manuscript, and special						
collections librarians	\$ 5,000	\$	-()-	\$ -()-	\$	5,000
Think tank on the uses of online						
catalogs	1,775		(708)	1,500 (433)		-()-
Trudi Bellardo						
Washington, D.C.						
History of online information						
retrieval systems	100		-()-	100		-()-
Brigham Young University	•					
Provo, Utab						
Prototype of a non-damaging book	2.500		-()-	2.500		-()-
return unit	2,500		-()-	2,500		————
Columbia University						
New York, N.Y.						
Measuring the public services impact of an online catalog	11,200		(11,200)	-()-		-()-
impact of all offinic catalog	11.200		(11,200)	,,		V
Recasting scientific information						
delivery	-()-		100,000	50,000		50,000
1991-92 CLR/Kellogg Fellowship	-()-		16,000	16,000		-()-
Commission on Preservation						
and Access						
Washington, D.C. General support	133,333		-()-	66,666		66,66
					<b>.</b> _	
Cornell University						
Geneva, N.Y.  Core literature research in						
horticulture from 1850-1950	500	)	-().	-()-		500
Jeffrey Alan Douglas						
Galesburg, III.						
American Antiquarian Society/						
CLR fellowship	-1	,	-()-	475		-()-



		FY 19	91	
		Grants		
	Unpaid 6/30/90	and Contracts (Adjustments)	Payments (Refunds)	Unpaid 6/30/91
Drexel University				
Philadelphia, Pa.				
Invitational conference on public library effectiveness	0-	16,760 (575)	16,185	-0-
Franklin & Marshall College Lancaster, Pa.	-			
Preservation and collection management at liberal arts college libraries	480	-0-	-0-	480
Harvard University				
Cambridge, Mass.  Conference on research trends and				
library resources	500	(790)	(290)	-0-
Strategic planning process	-0-	100,000	50,000	50,000
Indiana University of Pennsylvania Indiana, Pa.				
Hypermedia for improved subject access	-()-	15,000	15,000	-0-
Improving subject access in online public access catalogs	5.000	-0-	5,000	-0-
International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions The Hague, Netherlands IFLA Fellows program –		_		
1989-92	40,000	-0-	-0-	40,000
1990-91	40,000	-0-	40,000	-()-
Jobns Hopkins University Baltimore, Md.		_		
Knowledge management: expand-				
ing the scholarly role of research libraries	132,808	-0-	-()-	132,808
Kent State University Kent, Obio				
Comparison of online and manual search capabilities of students	1,000	-0-	1,000	-0
Library of Congress Washington, D.C. National Coordinated Cataloging				
Program	-()-	16,299 (10,866)	5,433	()



		FY 19	91	
	Unpaid 6/30/90	Grants and Contracts (Adjustments)	Payments (Refunds)	Unpaid 6/30/91
National Agricultural Library Beltsville, Md. Development of a computer-assisted instructional program for cataloging	25,084	-()-	20,000	5,084
National Information Standards Organization Gaithersburg, Md. Development of an American national standard for hardcover edition bindings	4,536	(4,536)	-()-	-()-
OCLC Online Computer Library Center Dublin, Obio Increasing the accessibility of Library of Congress subject headings in online bibliographic systems	3,700	(3,700)	-()-	-()-
Obio State University Columbus, Obio Examining innovation in academic libraries	-()-	3,100	3.100	-()-
Maxine H. Reneker Palo Alto, Calif. Information seeking among members of an academic community	-()-	4.500	4,000	500
SKP Associates New York, N.Y. Evaluation of the Cataloging in Publication Program	-()-	25,000	12,500	12,500
Jocelyn Sbeppard Wasbington, Pa. American Antiquarian Society/ CLR fellowship	62-	(152)	·i‴5	-()-
Simmons College Boston, Mass. Field test of the Library of Congress's "Training the Trainer" Course	010	(1,683)	(~34)	-()-
Symposium on solutions to the problems of recruiting, educating, and training cataloging librarians	1,300		1.186	-()-
	18 479	J	-	



FY 1991 Grants and Unpaid Contracts **Payments** Unpaid 6/30/90 (Adjustments) (Refunds) 6/30/91 Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Ill. International directory of children's licerature -0-4.599 4.000 599 Stanford University Stanford, Calif. Economic analysis of scholarly periodical costs 2,800 -()-2,800 -()-State University of New York Buffalo, N.Y. Study of access to non-bibliographic computer files in academic science libraries -()-3,000 3,000 -()-Cooperative Planning Grant -()-000,000 50,000 50,000 Syracuse University Syracuse, N.Y. 1991-92 CLR/Kellogg Fellowship -()-16,000 16,000 -0-1991-92 CLR/Kellogg Fellowship -()-16,000 16,000 -0-1991-92 CLR/Kellogg Fellowship -()-16,000 16,000 -()-Texas A&M University College Station, Tex. Automated collection analysis and development in a business collection -()-2,245 -0-2,245 Comparison of journal use to reported relative value, college discipline, and subscription cost -()-3,000 3,000 -()-University of Alabama Tuscaloosa, Ala. Book on library education 2,300 -()--()-2,300 University of California Berkeley, Calif. The Humanist and the Library ·()-24,000 20,000 4,000

## **BEST COPY AVAILABLE**



		FY 199	91	
	Unpaid 6/30/90	Grants and Contracts (Adjustments)	Payments (Refunds)	Unpaid 6/30/91
University of California				
Los Angeles, Calif. 1991-92 CLR/Kellogg Fellowship	-()-	16,000	16,000	-0-
Elucidation and validation of the knowledge used by reference librarians	1,330	-0-	-0-	1,330
Objectivity in evaluating subject heading assignment	-0-	810	810	-0-
Research program: long-range strategic planning for libraries and information resources in research universities	147,424	(134,881)	12,543	-()-
Technology and structure of research libraries	-0-	4,600	3,600	1,000
University of California Santa Cruz, Calif. Remote use of the library system	-0-	2,367	2,367	-0-
University of Colorado				
Boulder, Colo. Information-seeking behavior of scientists	600	(2)	598	-0-
University of Illinois				
Urbana, III. Advanced research institute	2,007	-0-	2,007	-0-
Advancing research on the library in transition	-()-	28,786	22,000	6,786
CIC task force on mass deacidification	6,000	-()-	6,000	-()-
Study of searching strategies on CD-ROM	17,512	-()-	15,000	2,512
Developing and evaluating online catalog interface enhancements	2,000	(464)	1,536	-()-
A history of Argentina's National Library under the directorship of Hugo Wast, 1931-1955	-()-	4,000	3,500	500
Survey of college and university archives in the United States	· • ()-	1,300	1,300	-0



		YFY 199	91	
	Unpaid 6/30/90	Grants and Contracts (Adjustments)	Payments (Refunds)	Unpaid 6/30/91
University of Kentucky Lexington, Ky. Revision of the Guide to the Library				
of Congress Classification	1,000	-0-	1,000	-()-
University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Mich. Joint education and research				
program	5,000	-0-	5,000	-0-
University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, N.C.				
Cooperative information resources development	-0-	100,000	50,000	50,000
1991/92 CLR/Kellogg Fellowship	-0-	16,000	16,000	-0-
Study of the organizational review process in research libraries	412	(1,343)	(931)	-0-
University of Oklahoma Norman, Okla.				
Career and communication patterns of academic librarians	2,936	-0-	2,936	-0-
University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pa. An advanced institute for government archivists	25,676	(22,294)	3,382	-()-
Examination of the effects of integrating information technology on job classification and				
compensation systems	-()-	18,560	17,000	1,560
University of Redlands Redlands, Calif.				
Diffusion of technological innovations in libraries	500	-()-	500	-()-
University of Rhode Island Kingston, R.I.		,	_	
Study of replacement needs for library school faculty	850	-0-	-0-	850

FY 1991 Grants and Unpaid Contracts **Payments** Unpaid 6/30/90 (Adjustments) (Refunds) 6/30/91 University of South Carolina Columbia, S.C. Study of the knowledge and skills required for health information .(). 4,830 -()-4,830 professionals University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario, Canada Information retrieval systems and -()-9,000 5,000 14.000their users White House Conference on Library and Information Services Washington, D.C. 1991 White House Conference on -()-15,000 15,000 -()--Library and Information Services Yale University New Haven, Conn. 1.500 ٠()-9,000 7,500 Instant mathematics preprint project Training support for the institution of self-managing teams within 2.400 ٠()٠ 2,400 -()technical services Current year adjustments; other \$ 89,147 \$ (6.485) \$ ـ()refunds and adjustments from prior \$ (95,632) years' grants and contracts **Totals** \$787,073 \$658,899 \$498,551 \$650,444 (288,940) (8.873)



# Report of Independent Accountants

To the Board of Directors

Council on Library Resources, Inc.



We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Council on Library Resources, Inc. (the Council) as of June 30, 1991, and the related statements of revenue, expenses and changes in fund balances, cash flows and functional expenses for the year then ended. We previously audited and reported upon the financial statements of the Council for the year ended June 30, 1990, which condensed statements are included for comparative purposes only. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Council's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Council on Library Resources, Inc. as of June 30, 1991, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Washington, D.C. September 6, 1991 Coopus Lyhud



## Council on Library Resources, Inc.

# Balance Sheet

June 30, 1991 (with comparative totals for 1990)

	1991	Totals 1990
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 474,660	\$ 790,269
Investments (Note 2)	2,897,461	3,099,468
Grants receivable (Note 2):		
Unrestricted	—	300,000
Restricted		409,900
Other assets (Note 6)	88,403	94,529
Total assets	\$3,460,524 =======	\$4,694,166 ======
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses Grants and contracts payable (Note 2):	\$ 16,646	\$ 38,739
Unrestricted	133,141	205,473
Restricted	365,410	444,971
Deferred revenue (Note 2):		
Unrestricted	300,000	600,000
Restricted	244,095	907,192
Total liabilities	1,059,292	2,196,375
Fund balances:		
Designated by Board of Directors (Note 2)	79,889	1,623
Undesignated	2.321.343	2,496,168
Total fund balances	2,401,232	2,497,791
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$3,460,524	\$4,694,166 





# Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Fund Balances

for the year ended June 30, 1991 (with comparative totals for 1990)

	•			
	Inrestricted	Restricted	Totals 1991	Totals 1990
Revenue (Note 2):				
Grants and contracts	\$ 300,000	\$665,536	· ·	\$ 649,124
Interest	291,638		291,638	324.332
Total revenue	591,638	665,536	1,257,174	973,456
Expenses (Notes 2, 4, 5 and 6):				
Program:				
Research	14,189	475,853	490,042	277,990
Access	42.517	(1,116)	41,401	36,414
Bibliography	113,565	_	113,565	55, 849
Librarianship	185,073	190,799	375,872	268,005
Library resources and				
preservation	22,989		22,989	21,243
Total program expenses	378.333	665,536	1,043,869	659,501
Administration	309.864		309,864	293.398
Total expenses	688.19	605,536	1.353,733	952.899
(Deficiency) excess of revenue				
over expenses	(96,559)	_	(96,559)	20,557
Fund balances, beginning of year	2.497,791	_	2,497,791	2,477,234
Fund balances, end of year	\$2,401.232	s	\$2,401,232	\$2,497.791



# Statement of Cash Flows

for the year ended June 30, 1991 (with comparative totals for 1990)

Cash flows from operating activities:

(Deficiency) excess of revenue over expenses

Adjustments to reconcile (deficiency) excess of revenue over expenses to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:

Amortization of investment (discounts) premiums

Decrease in grants receivable

Decrease in other assets

Decrease in deferred revenue

Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses

Decrease in grants and contracts payable

Total adjustments

Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities

Cash flows from investing activities:

Purchase of investments

Sale of investments

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the year

Cash and cash equivalents, end of the year



57

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Unrestricted Restricted		Totals 1991	Totals 1990	
\$ (96,559)	s	s (96,559)	\$ 20,557	
1,311		1,311	(11,494)	
300,000	409,900	709,900	1,027,260	
6.126	_	6,126	21,020	
(300,000)	(663,097)	(963,097)	(439,224)	
(22,093)		(22,093)	(16.073)	
(72.332)	("9,561)	(151,893)	(264.162)	
(86,988)	(332,758)	(+19,7-46)	317,327	
(183.547)	(332,758)	(516,305)	337.884	
(1,089,404)	(409,900)	(1, 499, 304)	(1.80+.674)	
957,342	<del></del>	1.700,000	1,800,000	
(132,062)	332.758	200,696	(4.674)	
(315,609)	_	(315,609)	333.210	
790.269		<u>-90,269</u>	457.059	
\$ 474,660	s	\$ 474,660	\$ 790,269	




# Statement of Functional Expenses

for the year ended June 30, 1991 (with comparative totals for 1990)

	Research	Access	Bibliography
Unrestricted:			
Grants and contracts	\$ 4,600	\$16,300	\$ 81,341
Refunds and overappropriations	(411)	(1,343)	(59,605)
Staff and travel	<del></del>	6,088	17,667
Advisory committees, consultants			
and interns	10,000	1,266	35,024
Board expenses		_	
Support services including office			
expenses		20,206	39,138
	14,189	42,517	113,565
Restricted:			
Grants and contracts	495,347	_	_
Refunds and overappropriations	(139,067)	(1.588)	_
Staff and travel	33,947		_
Advisory committees, consultants			
and interns	39,198	472	_
Support services including office			
expenses	46,428		
	475,853	(1,116)	
Total expenses	\$ 490,042	\$41,401	\$113,565







Librarianship	Library Resources and Preservation	Total Program Expenses	Administration	Totals	Totals 1990
\$ 24,834	<b>s</b> —	\$ 127 975	\$ —	\$ 127,075	\$ 112,882
(1,948)	(4,536)	(67,843)	_	(67,843)	(132,164)
61,567	6,053	91,375	151,369	242,744	235,046
18,524	1 ,266	66,080	_	66,080	90,054
_	_		42,031	42,031	31,400
82,096	20,206	161,646	116,464	278,110	266,557
185,073	22,989	378,333	309,864	688,197	603,775
164,652	_	659,999	_	659,999	248,613
(80,441)	_	(221,096)	_	(221,096)	(86,370)
_	_	33,947	_	33.947	41,726
106,197	_	145,867	_	145,867	103,401
391		46.819		46,819	41,754
190,799		665,536		665,536	349,124
\$375,872	\$22,989	\$1,043,869	\$309,864	\$1,353.733	\$952,899





Council on Library Resources, Inc.

## Notes to Financial Statements

## 1. Organization

The Council on Library Resources, Inc. (the Council) is a non-profit organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia in 1956 for the purpose of promoting library research.

The Council's operations are financed through unrestricted general support grants and through several restricted grants from private foundations and other sources. The Council conducts its work through directly administered projects as well as grants to and contracts with other organizations or individuals.

## 2. Summary of significant accounting policies

The significant accounting policies followed in the preparation of the financial statements are described below:

## Basis of accounting

The financial statements of the Council have been prepared on the accrual basis.

#### Grant revenue

Grants to the Council are recorded in the balance sheet as grants receivable and as deferred grant revenues when awarded. Revenues of restricted grant funds are recognized only to the extent of expenditures that satisfy the restricted purposes of these grants.

Unrestricted grant revenue is recognized as income in accordance with the budgeted annual payments specified by the grantors.

### Grants and contracts payable

Grants and contracts made by the Council are recorded in the balance sheet as grants and contracts payable and as an expense at the time recipients are awarded the grants. Current period expenses are reduced for grant or contract refunds or over appropriations when received.

### Cash and cash equivalents, and investments

Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of deposits in a money market mutual fund. Investments which consist of treasury notes are recorded at amortized cost which approximates market. These balances include restricted amounts of \$609,505 and \$1,352,163



at June 30, 1991 and 1990, respectively. Cash equivalents represent investments with original maturities of 90 days or less and, therefore, bear minimal risk. Interest which is not restricted by the related grants is recognized as unrestricted revenue.

### Functional allocation of expenses

Costs of providing the various programs have been summarized on a functional basis in the accompanying financial statements. Certain indirect costs identified as support services costs have been allocated directly to programs and administration on a systematic basis. These costs primarily include salary, benefits, rent and other expenses.

## Board designated funds

Pursuant to resolutions of the Board of Directors, designated funds were established in a prior year.

#### 3. Income taxes

The Council, a private operating foundation, is exempt from Federal income tax under Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(3) and applicable regulations of the District of Columbia.

## 4. Retirement plan

Employees are eligible for participation in the Council's defined contribution retirement annuity program (the Plan) administered through the TIAA/CREF insurance companies. Individual contracts issued under the Plan provide for full and immediate vesting of both the Council's and employees' contributions. The Council's contribution, net of that reimbursed by the Commission on Preservation and Access (the Commission), was approximately \$49,000 in fiscal year 1991.

#### 5. Commitments

The Council has entered into a noncancelable lease agreement for office space which expires in May 1993. The minimum future rental due will be approximately \$172,000 per year through May 1993. As part of this lease agreement, the Council will be assessed an annual charge based on its proportionate share of the increase in the operating costs of the building! For the year ended June 30, 1991, rent expense totaled \$137,000, of which approximately \$5,500 represents



62

the Council's share of the increase in the operating costs.

The Council subleases a portion of its leased office space. Rental income from this sublease amounted to approximately \$35,000 in fiscal year 1991.

## 6. Commission on Preservation and Access

The Commission on Preservation and Access (the Commission) is a non-profit organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia in 1988 for the purpose of fostering, developing, and supporting systematic and purposeful collaboration in order to ensure the preservation of the published and documentary record in all formats and provide equitable access to that information. In 1989, the Council granted to the Commission \$2,067,000 it had received on behalf of the Commission for support of the Commission's preservation program. Also, in 1989, the Council awarded to the Commission a general support grant totaling \$200,000 of which \$66,667 was payable to the Commission at June 30, 1991.

The Council also entered into an agreement with the Commission effective July 1, 1988 under which the Council provides office space, employee services including employee benefits, equipment, supplies and other overhead items to the Commission. Commission staff members are employees of the Council and receive the same benefits as members of the Council. A percentage of shared overhead costs, which is negotiated annually, was charged to the Commission. For fiscal year 1991, the Commission's share was 25%, or \$94,400. The total amount of direct expenses of \$292,200 and other overhead costs of \$94,400 were charged to the Commission for fiscal year 1991. At June 30, 1991, the Commission owed the Council \$26,739 under the terms of this agreement.

Certain members of the Council's Board of Directors are also members of the Commission's Board of Directors. However, as these members are in the minority and there are no other elements of managerial or financial control, these two entities have not been combined.



## Index

Harvard University, 17, 19 Academic Library Management Intern Hovekamp, Tina Maragou, 13 Program, 12, 42 Advisory Committee on Library Indiana University of Pennsylvania, 21 Education, 13, 27, 34 J. Paul Getty Trust, 7 Klingberg, Susan, 12 Alpers, Paul, 21 Library of Congress, 14, 15, 23 Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, 7 AT&T Foundation 7 "Library Schools in Research Universities," 13 Linked Systems Project, 34 Avram, Henriette D., 23 Bibliographic Service Development Liston, David M., Ir., 15 Program, 14 Lynch, Beverly P., 21 McGarry, Dorothy, 26 Bibliographic Services Study Committee 14, 34 McGrath, William E., 25 MAchine-Readable Cataloging (MARC), 23 Bishop, Ann P., 13 Maher, William J., 22 Branch, Katherine, 22 Brown University, 12 Metz, Allan, 26 Bush, Renec B., 25 Micco, Mary, 21 Bustion, Marifran, 25 Michel, Dee Andy, 13 Childers, Thomas, 24 Millsap, Larry, 23 Clapp, Verner W., 9 Morrison, Margaret L., 12 CLR Fellows Program, 24, 42 National Coordinated Cataloging Program (NCCP), 14, 23 CLR/Kellogg Fellows, 13 Coifman, Ronald, 22 National Coordinated Cataloging Program: An Assessment of the Pilot Project, 14 Cole, Fred C., 9 National Science Foundation, 15 Columbia University, 13, 17, 18, 24 Communications in Support of Science North Carolina State University, 20 Ohio State University, 23 and Engineering, 15 Cooperative Research Program, 24, 42 Penniman, W. David, 9 Pew Charitable Trusts, 7, 15 Council on Library Resources Program Princeton University, 12 Reports, 13, 16 Proposal Review Committee, 36 Curtis, Jean M., 23 Reneker, Maxine H., 24 Dale, Doris, 24 Doty, Philip, 13 Research Library Committee, 16-1" Drexel University, 24 Research Program, 16 Duke University, 20 "Setting Library Policies and Priorities in Research Universities," 16, 18 Eltinge, John L., 25 Snyder, Herbert, 13 Estabrook, Leigh, 21 Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, 24 Ferl, Terry Ellen, 23 Gillis, Christina M., 21 State University of New York at Bulfalo, 25 Gyeszly, Suzanne D., 25 Steel, Virginia, 12



Haas, Warren J., 8-9, 11, 27

C

SUNY Consortium, 17, 19

Svenonius, Elaine, 26
Syracuse University, 13
Texas A&M University, 25
Tiefel, Virginia, 23
Triangle Research Libraries Network, 17, 20
United Engineering Trustees/Engineering
Foundation, 15
University of California, Berkeley, 21
University of California,
Los Angeles, 13, 21, 25
University of California, Santa Cruz, 23
University of Chicago, 12

Utiversity of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign, 21, 22, 26
University of North Carolina at
Chapel Hill, 13, 20
University of Pittsburgh, 22
Van House, Nancy, 24
Vellucci, Sherry L., 13
W. K. Kellogg Foundation, 7, 13
White House Conference on Library and
Information Services, 23
Woodsworth, Anne, 22
Yale University, 22





COUNCIL ON LIBRARY RESOURCES 1785 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, SUITE 313 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 (202) 483-7474

